

## REMARKS

The Office Action dated February 6, 2006 has been fully considered by the Applicant.

Claims 1, 7, 11 and 14 have been currently amended. Claims 2-6, 8-10, 12-13 and 15-16 have been previously presented.

Attached is a Petition For One-Month Extension Of Time and a check in the amount of \$120.

Applicant is again amending the specification to include the headers as now required. Applicant would like to kindly remind the Examiner that headers were added to the specification in the Preliminary Amendment filed with the application on February 7, 2002.

Claims 1-16 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. The thorough examination by Examiner Kostak is gratefully appreciated. Independent claims 1, 7, and 11 have been amended to provide for an apparatus having as a part thereof a circuit including a single input transistor and two further transistors used in forming an amplifier. Applicant believes that amended independent claims, along with those depending therefrom, have overcome the rejection and respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection.

Claims 1-16 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Independent claims 1, 7, and 11 have been amended to provide for an apparatus having as a part thereof a circuit including a single input transistor and two further transistors used in forming an amplifier. Applicant believes that amended independent claims, along with those depending therefrom, have overcome the rejection and respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection.

Claims 1-16 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written enablement requirement. Independent claims 1, 7, and 11 have been amended to provide

for an apparatus having as a part thereof a circuit including a single input transistor and two further transistors used in forming an amplifier. Applicant believes that amended independent claims, along with those depending therefrom, have overcome the rejection and respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection.

Examiner Kostak has indicated that claims 1-16 would be allowable over the prior art if the 112 rejections were overcome. Applicant sincerely believes that the claims as currently amended overcome each of the 112 rejections and respectfully requests that the application be allowed.

It is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. If any further issues remain, a telephone conference with the Examiner is requested. If any further fees are associated with this action, please charge Deposit Account No. 08-1500.

Respectfully Submitted

HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN

Dated: 5 July 2006

BY: 

Mark G. Kachigian, Reg. No. 32,840

228 West 17th Place

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

(918) 587-2000

Attorneys for Applicant